



MINUTES OF THE EVALUATION COMMISSION MEETING

appointed to carry out the mid-term evaluation of a PhD student

1. Full name of a PhD student:

.....**REMO APPOLLONI**.....

2. Date of commencement of education:academic year 2019/2020

3. ORCID:

..... 0000-0003-1826-8016.....

4. Scientific discipline:

.....linguistics.....

5. The planned title of the doctoral dissertation:

"Taxonomies and definitions in early modern economic discourse"

6. Name and last name of a PhD supervisor(s), degree or scientific title:

.....Donatella Montini, PhD, Full Professor.....

7. Name and last name of the auxiliary supervisor (if designated):

.....dr hab. Rafał Boryślawski, prof. UŚ.....

8. Members of the mid-term Evaluation Committee:

1) Chair of the Committee (name and last name, degree or scientific title, position)

..... dr hab. Agnieszka Pośpiech, prof. UŚ.....

2) Member of the Committee (name and last name, degree or scientific title, position)

..... dr hab. Katarzyna Molek-Kozakowska, prof. UO.....

3) Member of the Committee (name and last name, degree or scientific title, position)

..... Iolanda Plescia PhD, Assistant Professor.....

9. Date of presentation at the meeting of the Scientific Council of the Institute of the relevant discipline

.....22 November 2022.....





10. Date of mid-term evaluation of a PhD student

.....23 November 2022.....

11. Questions posed to the PhD student (both at the second stage, at the Scientific Council meeting of the Institute of the relevant discipline, and at the third stage)

Questions posed to the PhD student at the second stage

prof. B.Cetnarowska:

- what kind of vocabulary you have used when analysing nominalizations - was it adjectival/verbal/any other?
- please mention an example of a synonymous pair of words related to the "inkhorn controversy"
- have you found any especially productive suffixes in the corpus you have studied?

Prof. K. Molek-Kozakowska:

- will you be annotating your corpus yourself?
- do you use any concordance analysis to qualitatively establish semantic values of words?
- what do you intend to do with your corpus? Do you intend to make it public?

Prof. I.Plescia:

Is the corpus design finished, and are all the texts readily available and digitised (for example on Early Modern English Books Online)? Have you had to digitise/harmonize/correct any texts yourself?

Questions posed to the PhD student at the third stage:

prof. I. Plescia

This question relates to the writing phases of both your current projects, your article and your PhD thesis. I understand you are in the collection data phase - when do you see yourself starting to write a discussion chapter of the thesis and where do you stand on the production of your article required for the PhD programme?

Prof. A. Adamowicz-Pośpiech:

Since you haven't submitted a chapter yet, do you think that you'll manage to write the whole dissertation in 6-8 months?

Prof. K. Molek-Kozakowska:

How did you implement the lessons learned in Lancaster Summer School to your research?

12. The Evaluation Committee, acting on the basis of Article 19 of the Resolution No. 75 of the Senate of the University of Silesia in Katowice of January 26, 2021 on defining the Regulations of the Doctoral School at the University of Silesia in Katowice has conducted a mid-term evaluation of:

.....**REMO APPOLLONI**.....

University of Silesia in Katowice
Doctoral School
ul. Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice
tel.: +48 32 359 2471, e-mail: szkola.doktorska@us.edu.pl





After reviewing the Individual Research Plan, the written Report on the execution of the educational program and the execution of the Individual Research Plan, the supervisor's opinion, hearing the presentation at the meeting of the Scientific Council of the Institute of the relevant discipline, having discussion with the PhD student¹ and discussion with the supervisor², the Committee issues the following evaluation:

.....**POSITIVE**.....

RESULT OF MID-TERM EVALUATION (positive/negative):

13. Vote results:

Number of votes for positive evaluation:3.....

Number of votes for negative evaluation:0.....

Number of votes abstaining:0.....

14. Remarks

15. Detailed justification of the Commission on the result of the mid-term evaluation (justify the result in detail; under the Act of 20 July 2018. Law on Higher Education and Science [Ustawa z dnia 20 lipca 2018 r. Prawo o szkolnictwie wyższym i nauce, the evaluation result with justification is public and will be published).

All the evaluators agreed that the student has done a lot of research and participated in the activities required by the Doctoral School Programme.

Prof. Adamowicz-Pośpiech pointed out that the student confirmed that he had the methodological chapter conceptually planned in his head and a lot of notes for the state-of-the-art chapter; still he waits till the collection of relevant data has been completed.

Prof. Plescia noted that she has observed a great progress made by the student. She was sure that the student would be able to complete the thesis in time. He also may get an extension at Sapienza University due to Covid pandemic but this must be agreed upon between the two universities.

Prof. Molek-Kozakowska added that the student gathered an extensive corpus and annotated it. Also, he made an informed choice of the tools and software to use in his analyses. He has a good grasp and understanding of their limitations. She was convinced that the project may be finished on time.

¹ Cross out if the discussion has not taken place

² Cross out if the discussion has not taken place



16. Signatures of the members of the Evaluation Committee

1) (name and last name of the chair, signature)

.....Agnieszka Adamowicz-Pośpiech.....

2) (name and last name of the member, signature)

.....Iolanda Plescia.....

3) (name and last name of the member, signature)

.....Katarzyna Molek-Kozakowska.....



this is legal evidence of all created e-signatures and e-seals

Certificate for a document with an Autenti ID: 0ca14d0f-8c0c-4a72-98c7-bde02d77ca01
created at: 2022-12-09 11:27 (GMT+01:00)

