

## MINUTES OF THE EVALUATION COMMISSION MEETING

appointed to carry out the mid-term evaluation of a PhD student

1. Full name of a PhD student:

**Mr Ikechukwu Ugwu**

2. Date of commencement of education: academic year 2019/2020

3. ORCID:

**<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0271-9364>**

4. Scientific discipline:

**Legal Studies**

5. The planned title of the doctoral dissertation:

***Multinational Corporations in Africa: A New International Law Approach to Protecting the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and the Environment***

6. Name and last name of a PhD supervisor(s), degree or scientific title:

**Prof. dr hab. Barbara Mikołajczyk**

7. Name and last name of the auxiliary supervisor (if designated):

.....

8. Members of the mid-term Evaluation Committee:

1) Chair of the Committee (name and last name, degree or scientific title, position)

**Dr hab. Joanna Nowakowska-Malusecka, prof. UŚ, Uniwersytet Śląski**

2) Member of the Committee (name and last name, degree or scientific title, position)

**Prof. dr hab. Jerzy Menkes, Szkoła Główna Handlowa**

3) Member of the Committee (name and last name, degree or scientific title, position)

**Dr hab. Marek Zieliński, prof. UŚ, Uniwersytet Śląski**

9. Date of presentation at the meeting of the Scientific Council of the Institute of the relevant discipline

**28.06.2022**

10. Date of mid-term evaluation of a PhD student

**30.09.2022**

---

University of Silesia in Katowice  
Doctoral School  
ul. Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice  
tel.: +48 32 359 2471, e-mail: [szkola.doktorska@us.edu.pl](mailto:szkola.doktorska@us.edu.pl)

[www.us.edu.pl](http://www.us.edu.pl)

11. Questions posed to the Ph.D. student (both at the second stage, at the Scientific Council meeting of the Institute of the relevant discipline, and at the third stage):

- **Why does the Ph.D. Student consider the US Alien Tort Statute an act of international law?**
- **What is the main thesis of the dissertation?**
- **Please indicate the scientific problems you would like to evaluate in the dissertation.**
- **Which scientific methods does the PhD Student consider the most important for his research?**

12. The Evaluation Committee, acting on the basis of Article 19 of the Resolution No. 75 of the Senate of the University of Silesia in Katowice of January 26, 2021 on defining the Regulations of the Doctoral School at the University of Silesia in Katowice has conducted a mid-term evaluation of:

**Mr. Ikechukwu Ugwu**

After reviewing the Individual Research Plan, the written Report on the execution of the educational program and the execution of the Individual Research Plan, the supervisor's opinion, hearing the presentation at the meeting of the Scientific Council of the Institute of the relevant discipline, having discussion with the PhD student<sup>1</sup> and discussion with the supervisor<sup>2</sup>, the Committee issues the following evaluation:

**POSITIVE**

**RESULT OF MID-TERM EVALUATION (positive/negative):**

13. Vote results:

**Number of votes for positive evaluation: 3**

Number of votes for negative evaluation: .0

Number of votes abstaining: 0

14. Remarks

**The Ph.D. Student answered all questions, explained his position on the topic, and evaluated the issues he was. The Commission does not have any specific recommendations.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Cross out if the discussion has not taken place

<sup>2</sup> Cross out if the discussion has not taken place

15. Detailed justification of the Commission on the result of the mid-term evaluation (justify the result in detail; under the Act of 20 July 2018. Law on Higher Education and Science [ Ustawa z dnia 20 lipca 2018 r. Prawo o szkolnictwie wyższym i nauce, the evaluation result with justification is public and will be published).

**The Commission members stress the importance of the topic and research the Ph.D. Student is conducting. They express a positive assessment of the problems Mr. Ugwu is concentrating on. The members highly evaluated the subject of the future dissertation. The Commission would like to stress that Mr. Ugwu has many publications, also with researchers from different countries, which is proof of his international scientific cooperation.**

**The Commission has positively evaluated the PhD Student's work on the dissertation. It recognizes that the research is progressing in accordance with the individual research plan.**

16. Signatures of the members of the Evaluation Committee

1) (name and last name of the chair, signature)

**Dr hab. Joanna Nowakowska-Malusecka, prof. UŚ**

2) (name and last name of the member, signature)

**Prof. dr hab. Jerzy Menkes, SGH**

3) (name and last name of the member, signature)

**Dr hab. Marek Zieliński, prof. UŚ**

this is legal evidence of all created e-signatures and e-seals

Certificate for a document with an Autenti ID: 80223c31-fb5f-4997-9d9a-c7b28715d0fb  
created at: 2022-10-04 15:57 (GMT+02:00)

