

MINUTES OF THE EVALUATION COMMISSION MEETING

appointed to carry out the mid-term evaluation of a PhD student

1. Full name of a PhD student:

Beibei Yao

2. Date of commencement of education: academic year 2019/2020

3. ORCID:

0000-0002-3411-3105

4. Scientific discipline:

Physical Sciences

5. The planned title of the doctoral dissertation:

The liquid-liquid phase transition in ionic liquids at ambient and elevated pressure

6. Name and last name of a PhD supervisor(s), degree or scientific title:

dr hab. Żaneta Wojnarowska

7. Name and last name of the auxiliary supervisor (if designated):

not applicable

8. Members of the mid-term Evaluation Committee:

1) Chair of the Committee (name and last name, degree or scientific title, position)

Dr hab. Anna Mrozek-Wilczkiewicz, A. Chelkowski Institute of Physics, University of Silesia in Katowice

2) Member of the Committee (name and last name, degree or scientific title, position)

Prof. dr hab. Adam Patkowski, Faculty of Physics, A. Mickiewicz University in Poznan

3) Member of the Committee (name and last name, degree or scientific title, position)

Dr hab. Roman Wrzalik, A. Chelkowski Institute of Physics, University of Silesia in Katowice

9. Date of presentation at the meeting of the Scientific Council of the Institute of the relevant discipline

27.09.2022

10. Date of mid-term evaluation of a PhD student

11.10.2022

University of Silesia in Katowice
Doctoral School
ul. Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice
tel.: +48 32 359 2471, e-mail: szkola.doktorska@us.edu.pl

11. Questions posed to the PhD student (both at the second stage, at the Scientific Council meeting of the Institute of the relevant discipline, and at the third stage):

What is the difference in structure between liquid 1 and liquid 2?

Is the liquid-liquid a sharp transition or there is some coexistence of liquid 1 and liquid 2 in some temperature range?

Formal question on the research plan.

Scientific plans for the next year.

Opinion about classes for PhD students.

12. The Evaluation Committee, acting on the basis of Article 19 of the Resolution No. 75 of the Senate of the University of Silesia in Katowice of January 26, 2021 on defining the Regulations of the Doctoral School at the University of Silesia in Katowice has conducted a mid-term evaluation of:

Beibei Yao

After reviewing the Individual Research Plan, the written Report on the execution of the educational program and the execution of the Individual Research Plan, the supervisor's opinion, hearing the presentation at the meeting of the Scientific Council of the Institute of the relevant discipline, having discussion with the PhD student¹ and discussion with the supervisor², the Committee issues the following evaluation:

RESULT OF MID-TERM EVALUATION: POSITIVE

13. Vote results:

Number of votes for positive evaluation: **3**

Number of votes for negative evaluation: **0**

Number of votes abstaining: **0**

14. Remarks

No remarks.

15. Detailed justification of the Commission on the result of the mid-term evaluation (justify the result in detail; under the Act of 20 July 2018. Law on Higher Education and Science [Ustawa z dnia 20 lipca 2018 r. Prawo o szkolnictwie wyższym i nauce, the evaluation result with justification is public and will be published).

After conducting all evaluation activities in accordance with the Regulations of the Doctoral School, the Commission unanimously ruled that the doctoral student met all requirements and criteria and could be admitted to further stages of training.

¹ Cross out if the discussion has not taken place

² Cross out if the discussion has not taken place

16. Signatures of the members of the Evaluation Committee

1) **Dr hab. Anna Mrozek-Wilczkiewicz**

.....

2) **Prof. dr hab. Adam Patkowski**

.....

3) **Dr hab. Roman Wrzalik**

.....