1. Name (or names) and last name of the PhD student:

Date of submitting an application in the doctoral school office:

……………………………………………

Stamp and signature of the office worker:

……………………………………………

Number of points awarded by the committee:

Signature of the evaluator

……………………………………………

Signature of the chairman of the commission

……………………………………………

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………

2. Date of the beginning of education: academic year 2019/2020

3. Index number:

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………

4. ORCID:

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………

5. Scientific discipline/scientific field:

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………

7. Name and last name of a PhD supervisor (or supervisor), scientific title or degree:

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………

8. Name and last name of an auxiliary supervisor (if appointed):

…………………………………………………………………………………………………………

Date od sign of the PhD student Date and sign of the PhD supervisor

…………………………………………… ……………………………………………

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| criterion | characteristics | points | confirmation | achievements of the PhD student | Points |
| 1. Publications  (authorship,  co-authorship or scientific editing) | scientific publications in evaluated journals | as in the case of points given to journals | front page, table of contents, ISBN/ISSN |  |  |
| chapter in a monograph or a scientific textbook | 75 (for a publication in a publishing house for 300 points)  / 20 (for a publication in  a publishing house for 100 points) | front page, table of  contents, ISBN |  |  |
| authorship of a scientific monograph issued by  a publishing house from the ministerial list | as in the case of points given to a publishing house (300/100) | front page, table of contents, ISBN |  |  |
| editing of a scientific monograph issued by a publishing house from the ministerial list | 150 (for editing in a publishing house for 300 points)/20 (for editing in a publishing house for 100 points) | front page, table of contents, ISBN |  |  |
| 2. Head, main investigator of the grant  (research project) and submission of an application | international research projects included in the Regulation of MNiSW (Minister of Science and Higher Education) of 22nd February 2019 on the evaluation of the quality of scientific activity and research scholarships (Fulbright, Bekker) | 250 | grant agreement or award decision  (grant, research project, research scholarship); confirmation of submission of the grant application (e.g., screenshot from the OSF system, which confirms the submission of the application) |  |  |
| national research projects included in the Regulation of MNiSW of 22nd February 2019 on the evaluation of the quality of scientific activity | 150 – for receiving a grant;  70 – for acceptance to stage II of the content-related assessment (NCN- National Science Centre Poland) |  |  |
| other (e.g. bilateral agreements; PROM Programme) | 30 – for receiving | certificate from the doctoral school office |  |  |
| 3. Implementation of a joint doctorate with a foreign institution | | 20 | the agreement concluded between the University of Silesia and a foreign institution |  |  |
| Points scored: | |  |  |  |  |

### DETAILED RULES

1. The Annexes (confirming documents) shall be described, structured according to the structure of the application and numbered. The application shall be accompanied by a list of annexes and the annexes themselves.

2. Each publication may be presented in only one academic year, and only the publications which have been issued in the paper or electronic form are considered (certificates of acceptance for review or printing shall not be considered, as decided by the authority of the Rector).

3. Points given to the journals from current ministerial lists are binding. The multiplier of points is binding. It was introduced by the Regulation of the Minister of Science and Higher Education of 22nd February 2019 on the evaluation of the quality of scientific activity at

<http://isap.sejm.gov.pl/isap.nsf/DocDetails.xsp?id=WDU20190000392>.

4. Points obtained for co-authoring articles shall be divided equally among co-authors, except for the situation when a statement of different contribution percentage and, consequently, of different point division signed by co-authors is provided.

5. Points for receiving a grant shall be given once only in the year of receipt of funding (winning the competition) even if the funded project lasts more than one year.

6. If two doctoral students receive the same number of points, both persons shall receive an increase in the scholarship.

7. The data provided in the application by the doctoral student shall be verified. Providing incorrect information and re-entering a publication that was considered in the application in the previous year shall result in the loss of the right to the increase in the scholarship.

8. Applications which do not meet any of the above criteria shall not be considered for formal reasons.